

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/687,852	10/17/2003	Christopher Fuller	010121-5004-01	9583
7590 09/17/2004			EXAMINER	
David R. Price			CHAMBERS, A MICHAEL	
Michael Best & Friedrich LLP 100 East Wisconsin Avenue Milwaukee, WI 53202-4108			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3753	
			DATE MAILED: 09/17/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

) / /
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/687,852	FULLER, CHRISTOPHER
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	A. Michael Chambers	3753
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl' If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of the provision of the period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be till y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from to become ABANDONE	mely filed ys will be considered timely. n the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	_·	
, C · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	s action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa		
closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims		
4) Claim(s) 1-12 is/are pending in the application		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	wn from consideration.	
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6) Claim(s) <u>1-12</u> is/are rejected.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.	
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc		Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the		
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correc		
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer. Note the attached Office	e Action or form PTO-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a	a)-(d) or (f).
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:		
1. Certified copies of the priority document	ts have been received.	
2. Certified copies of the priority document		tion No
3. Copies of the certified copies of the price		
application from the International Burea		
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list		ed.
Attachment(s)	4) 🔲 Interview Summar	v (PTO-413)
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) [Interview Summar Paper No(s)/Mail [
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 10/17/03& 02/06/04.	5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:	Patent Application (PTO-152)

Application/Control Number: 10/687,852 Page 2

Art Unit: 3753

DETAILED ACTION

1. This application has been examined. This application is a continuation of serial number 09/988,080 filed November 16, 2001, now US Patent 6,662,822 issued December 16,2003. Two Information disclosure statements (IDS) filed October 17, 2003, and March 6, 2004. Claims 1-12 are pending.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 7-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Hotchkin (Figure 5). Hotchkin shows a "one-way nut fastener comprising a nut body 11 having at least one lobe 16 that extends from a side wall and a flat portion 18. A through-hole 12 is shown. The "nut body" is turned by applying a rotational force. A curved portion is included on the lobes of the nut body. A plurality of lobes are shown in the Figures. The nut body acts as a cap for member 31.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art

Page 3 Application/Control Number: 10/687,852

Art Unit: 3753

to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

- The factual inquiries set forth in Graham v. John Deere Co., 148 USPQ 459, that are 5. applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
 - 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
 - 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
 - 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
 - 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or unobviousness.
- Claims 1-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hotchkin in 6. view of Jacobson. Hotchkin discloses the claimed invention except for the recitation of the nut fastener being threaded as taught by Jacobson as shown in the threaded connection of drain member 12 to handle 18. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the nut fastener of Hotchkin to be threaded as taught by Jacobson, in order to be more easily retained on lag screw 25 of Hotchkin.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. In particular note the patents to Mueller, Robinson and Ford.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to A. Michael Chambers whose telephone number is 703-308-1016. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Thur. 6:30am-5:00pm.

Application/Control Number: 10/687,852 Page 4

Art Unit: 3753

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Dave Scherbel can be reached on 703-308-1272. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

A. Michael Chambers
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 3753

amc

September 16, 2004